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Glossary

Child sexual abuse (CSA): “Child sexual abuse is the involvement of a child in sexual activity that he or she does not fully comprehend, is unable to give informed consent to, or for which the child is not developmentally prepared and cannot give consent, or that violates the laws or social taboos of society. Child sexual abuse is evidenced by this activity between a child and an adult or another child who by age or development is in a relationship of responsibility, trust or power, the activity being intended to gratify or satisfy the needs of the other person.” Definition of CSA by WHO Consultation on Child Abuse Prevention 1999.

Extra-familial child sexual abuse (CSA-E): Child sexual abuse where the perpetrator neither has a biological relation nor step-relation to the child.

Inbreeding avoidance: Tendency to avoid inbreeding due to the detrimental effects to potential offspring.

Intra-familial child sexual abuse (CSA-I): Child sexual abuse where the perpetrator either has a biological relation or step-relation to the child.

Person of Interest: A person with a relationship with the respondent. Coded as biological daughter, non-biological daughter, niece or friend’s daughter.
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According to a comprehensive meta-analysis, child sexual abuse (CSA) has an estimated global prevalence of 12.7% (Stoltenborgh, van Ijzendoorn, Euser, & Bakermans-Kranenburg, 2011). Experiences of CSA are associated with several forms of later psychopathology and health issues (e.g. Bradley, Binder, & Epstein, 2008; Jewkes, Dunkle, Nduna, Jama, & Puren, 2010; Rehan, Antfolk, Johansson, & Santtila, 2016). Because of this, CSA is a major concern for society, and great efforts have been made to prevent CSA and provide the best possible treatment of victims and perpetrators. To improve prevention strategies and design more effective treatment programs for both victims and perpetrators, a better understanding of the risk factors associated with CSA is of paramount importance. This is especially true for CSA that occurs within the family, that is, intra-familial CSA (CSA-I) as CSA-I has more detrimental effects for the victim (Magalhães et al., 2009; Ventus, Antfolk, & Salo, 2017) compared to extra-familial CSA (CSA-E).

Conceptual Models of Extra- and Intra-Familial Child Sexual Abuse

Some conceptual models have been suggested to explain CSA. According to Finkelhor’s (1986) model, a potential perpetrator firstly needs motivation to commit the sexual offence, such as feeling sexually aroused by the child. Even in the case of motivation, abuse will not occur if effective inhibitors are in place. Inhibitors can be both internal (e.g., fear of consequences) and external (e.g., the presence of other adults that can intervene). Finally, the potential perpetrator needs to overcome possible resistance by the child (e.g., by using threats) to carry out an abusive act. More recently, Seto, Babchishin, Pullman, and McPhail (2015) presented the motivation-facilitator model to explain adult male sexual offending. In this model, atypical sexual interest serves as the main motivational factor for CSA, while distorted cognitions and certain personality aspects, such as anti-social personality traits, represent facilitators of sexual offending against children. The main difference between the two models is that the first focuses on motivation and inhibiting factors, whereas the latter focuses on motivation and facilitating factors. These models—and models similar to them—and the risk- and protective factors subsumed under them focus largely on explaining CSA-E. On the other hand CSA-I is somewhat
overlooked, and better models that account for the differences between these two forms of sexual abuse are needed (Rice & Harris, 2002; Tews, 2013). Most convicted perpetrators of CSA are male, and, in the majority of cases, the victim is a female known to the perpetrator (Seto, 2008). One third of offenders are related to their victim (Ogrodnik, 2010), with uncles, grandfathers, siblings, and cousins being more common perpetrators compared to biological and non-biological fathers (Fanslow, Robinson, Crengle, & Perese, 2007). Not only is CSA-I less prevalent than CSA-E, there is an increasing amount of evidence showing that they differ both in terms of sequelae, abuse characteristics, and risk-factors. With respect to harmful sequelae, CSA-I is known to be associated with more psychological suffering for the victim compared to CSA-E (e.g., Magalhães et al., 2009).

Concerning abuse characteristics, the victim age of onset is lower for CSA-I than CSA-E, and CSA-I lasts for longer periods of time (e.g., Seto, Babchishin, Pullman, & McPhail, 2015; Ventus, Antfolk, & Salo, 2017). Considering that CSA-I differs from CSA-E in several aspects, motivational, inhibiting, and facilitating factors might differ greatly between CSA-E and CSA-I (e.g., Black, Heyman, & Smith Slep, 2001).

Atypical Sexual Interest and Sexual Arousal

The prime motivational factor in CSA is atypical sexual interest, particularly pedophilia, which can be defined as a persistent sexual interest in pre-pubertal children (Miller, 2013). Indeed, two meta-analyses have shown that sexual interest in children is the strongest predictive risk-factor for recidivism in child sex offenders (Hanson & Bussière, 1998; Hanson & Morton-Bourgon, 2005). Seto (2008) estimated that around half of all child sex offenders have a persistent sexual interest in children or adolescents. With respect to age, it is known that most men display an strong sexual interest in women in their 20’s (Antfolk et al., 2015; Kenrick & Keefe, 1992), but few adult men report an interest in adolescents and children (Santtila et al., 2015). In light of this, it is not surprising that the risk of CSA increases with the age of the child (Bergen, Antfolk, Jern, Alanko, & Santtila, 2013). Ventus et al. (2017) found, however, that victims of CSA-I tend to be younger than victims of CSA-E. The authors suggested this might be due to detrimental effects of inbreeding and that the risk for conception increases with age and so the victims tend to be pre-pubertal. Yet, intra-familial child sex offenders are less likely to have pedophilic sexual interest (Seto, 2008; Seto et al., 2015) and show less or equal sexual arousal to
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children compared to extra-familial offenders (Freund, Watson, & Dickey, 1991; Marshall, Barbaree, & Christophe, 1986; Rice & Harris, 2002; Seto, Lalumière, & Kuban, 1999).

Sexual arousal is known to reduce inhibitory control, affect sexual decision making (Ariely & Loewenstein, 2006), decrease the comprehension of a potential victim’s situation (Ward, Hudson, & Marshall, 1996), and to increase sexually aggressive behavior (Loewenstein, Nagin, & Paternoster, 1997). Ariely and Loewenstein (2006) have also showed that men perceive themselves more likely to have sex with a 12-year old girl when sexually aroused compared to when they are not sexually aroused. Santtila and colleagues (2015) found that men sexually interested in children aged 15 or younger also report more overall sexual desire compared to other men. In a meta-analysis, Pullman et al. (2017) found that, among CSA-I offenders, offenders lacking a biological relationship with the victim (i.e., step-fathers) had more sexual regulation problems than offenders biologically related to the victim. The authors concluded that non-biological CSA-I offenders may be more likely to act on sexual urges than biological CSA-I offenders.

**Personality and Individual Differences**

Several original studies and systematic reviews have compared different facilitating factors across different types of CSA. A recent meta-analysis compared offenders with extra-familial and intra-familial CSA offenses for psychopathology, distorted attitudes and beliefs, childhood abuse, as well as interpersonal deficits (Seto et al., 2015). Others have investigated the role of alcohol (e.g. Pullman et al., 2017; Seto & Barbaree, 1995) and empathy (e.g. Marshall, Hudson, Jones, & Fernandez, 1995; Ward, Keenan, & Hudson, 2000; Whitaker et al., 2008) in different types of CSA and in sexual offending in general.

**Antisocial personality and empathy.** Because anti-social personality traits are associated with criminal offending in general, CSA can partly be explained as a general tendency for crime (Hanson & Morton-Bourgon, 2005). Indeed, intra-familial child sex offenders display less anti-sociality than extra-familial child sex offenders (Beggs & Grace, 2008; Rice & Harris, 2002; Seto & Barbaree, 1999). Some studies have, however, arrived at the opposite conclusion (Jabbour, 2007; Porter et al., 2000). Although not statistically significant, Pullman et al. (2017) found that, among intra-familial child sex offenders, biologically unrelated offenders to display higher levels of antisocial traits compared to biologically related offenders.
Thus, anti-social personality traits are expected to be more strongly associated to CSA-E than CSA-I.

Several studies have found child sex offenders to have deficits in empathy (Fernandez, Marshall, Lightbody, & O’Sullivan, 1999; Ward et al., 1996; Whitaker et al., 2008). Extra-familial child sex offenders have been found to have lower victim empathy and greater hostility towards women than intra-familial child sex offenders (Seto et al., 2015). Extra-familial offenders are, however, more likely to emotionally identify with children and have higher emotional congruence with children compared to intra-familial child sex offenders (Mcphail, Hermann, & Nunes, 2013; Seto et al., 2015). Marshall and colleagues (1995) suggested that the decreased empathy in extra-familial offenders might reflect cognitive dissonance (i.e. avoiding negative self-conception by changing the perception of the victim or occurred abuse), whereas low emotional congruence in intra-familial child sex offenders might be a sign of emotional or social immaturity. Based on this, deficits in empathy are expected to be more strongly related to CSA-E compared to CSA-I.

Cognitions and beliefs. Cognitive factors, such as maladaptive beliefs and distorted thinking, have been recognized as an important risk factor for sexual offending against children (Seto et al., 2015; Whitaker et al., 2008). It is, however, unknown whether cognitive distortions are a prerequisite for CSA or used as a coping strategy for the perpetrator to maintain self-esteem (Burn & Brown, 2006). Ward (2000) pointed out that cognitive distortions might differ between child sex offenders: Some might view a friendly smile by a child as seductive, whereas other offenders might assume they are entitled to have sex with anyone they want to. Offense-supporting attitudes and beliefs can also be viewed as antisocial traits. In accordance with this, extra-familial child sex offenders exhibit stronger denial and minimization of sexual offense compared to intra-familial child sex offenders (Seto et al., 2015). Cognitive distortions are thus expected to be more present in CSA-E than in CSA-I.

Substance abuse. Seto and Barbaree (1995) have suggested that alcohol use is a risk factor for sexual aggression. Alcohol impairs cognitive functions, reduces planning and inhibition abilities (Curtin & Fairchild, 2003), reduces sexual disinhibitions, and increases sexual desire as well as sexual risk-taking (Chaney, Vail-Smith, Martin, & Cremeens-Matthews, 2016; Cooper, 2006; Kilwein & Looby, 2018). Overall, substance abuse is considered delinquent behavior and linked to
antisocial traits and is thus expected to be more prevalent in cases of CSA-E. However, Seto et al. (2015) did not find a significant difference in drug or alcohol use when comparing intrafamilial and extrafamilial offenders in their review article. However, the articles used in the review seldom differentiated between biological and non-biological fathers. Pullman et al. (2017) found that biological fathers were more likely to have issues with substance abuse than non-biological fathers, whereas other offenders with a biological relationship to their victim (e.g., uncles) had least substance abuse problems. Thus, substance abuse is expected to be as likely in CSA-E as in CSA-I and biological fathers more likely to suffer from substance abuse problems than non-biological fathers followed by uncles.

**Experiences of child abuse.** Other studies have investigated the association between adverse developmental experiences, for example childhood sexual abuse, and the likelihood of abusing children (e.g., Nunes, Hermann, Renee Malcom, & Lavoie, 2013). In a meta-analysis of adult sex offenders, Jespersen, Lalumière, and Seto (2009) found that sex offenders with child victims were more likely to have been sexually abused as children compared to sex offenders with adult victims. Child sex offenders have also been shown to be more likely than non-sex offenders to have been sexually abused (Whitaker et al., 2008). Comparing CSA-E offenders to CSA-I offenders, Seto et al. (2015) found that intra-familial child sex offenders were more likely than extra-familial child sex offenders to have experienced childhood sexual abuse. There was no difference in experiences of sexual abuse and childhood problems between biological and non-biological fathers as intra-familial child sex offenders (Pullman et al., 2017). Thus, a history of childhood sexual abuse is expected to be more likely present in CSA-I offenders compared to CSA-E offenders.

In conclusion, antisocial traits are more pronounced in CSA-E than CSA-I, with uncles seeming to have more antisocial traits than non-biological fathers, and with biological fathers exhibiting the least antisocial traits. The same pattern applies to empathy, cognitive dissociation, and rape attitudes. Likewise, alcohol abuse is expected to be more common in CSA-E than in CSA-I but with biological fathers more prone to substance abuse than non-biological fathers followed by uncles. Experiences in early childhood abuse is on the contrary more often found in CSA-I than CSA-E. Previous research about childhood sexual abuse has not differentiated between different types of CSA-I.
An Evolutionary Model of Intra-familial Child Sexual Abuse

Approaching CSA from an evolutionary perspective can shed more light on CSA-E and CSA-I as different phenomena. The evolutionary model of CSA-I is based on the current understanding of human inbreeding avoidance (e.g., the various mechanisms that decreases inbreeding between close family members; e.g., Antfolk, 2014). Inbreeding is known to have strong negative consequences on reproductive fitness, as offspring of closely related individuals suffer increased mortality and have more severe physical defects (e.g., Bittles & Neel, 1994; Charlesworth & Charlesworth, 1987; Seemanova, 1971). Due to these negative effects, humans—as well as many other animal species—have adapted psychological mechanisms that decreases sexual interest in closely related individuals (Liberman & Antfolk, 2015).

Because the likelihood of negative biological consequences depends on the degree of biological relatedness between two individuals, this psychological system is expected to differentiate between different kin. Indeed, it has been shown that the thought of sex between full-siblings is more aversive than the thought of sex between half-siblings, followed by the thought of sex between cousins (Antfolk et al., 2018; Antfolk, Lieberman, & Santtila, 2012; Lespiau & Kaminski, 2016). Sex between biological family members is also found more aversive than sex between non-biological family members (Antfolk, Karlsson, Bäckström, & Santtila, 2012; Kresanov et al., 2018). Following this, distantly related kin are expected to be more prone to CSA-I than closely related kin, and biological kin less prone to CSA-I than non-biological family members. Indeed, a study by Sariola and Uutela (1996) reported that 1.4% of 15-year old girls had experienced unwanted sexual contact with a family member. A total of 0.2% of the girls in the survey reported being sexually abused by their purported biological father, while abuse by a stepfather was more than 15 times more common.

Because the purpose of inbreeding avoidance system is to regulate reproduction, we expect that sexual maturity of a close biological family member is not associated with increased sexual interest. In other words, while men’s sexual interest increases as a function of females’ sexual maturity, this is only true for unrelated females. For related females, an intact inbreeding aversion system should, in particular, protect against sexual interest in female of a reproductive age. Indeed, some prior studies suggest that female’s incest avoidance mechanisms are sensitive to variations in the likelihood of conception (Antfolk, Lieberman, Albrecht, &
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Santtila, 2014; Fessler & Navarrete, 2003; Lieberman, Pillsworth, & Haselton, 2011) and that victims of CSA-I tend to be pre-pubertal and younger than victims of CSA-E and that the abuse discontinues as the risk of conception increases with age (Ventus et al., 2017).

The Present Study

The aim of this study was to investigate men’s self-reported probability to encourage sexual contact with actual child and adolescent females. In doing this we considered the relatedness between the men and the females, the age of the females, and a number of individual factors shown to be associated with CSA. In investigating risk- and protective factors of CSA, very few studies have differentiated between different types of CSA-I, such as comparing fathers, uncles, and non-biological fathers (Pullman et al., 2017; Rice & Harris, 2002; Seto et al., 2015). In the current study we compared these three types of relationships to investigate differences in how various factors are associated with men’s self-reported probability to encourage sexual contact with actual child and adolescent females. To the best of our knowledge this is the first study to compare the propensity for CSA-E and CSA-I while differentiating between the degree of relatedness of a possible perpetrator and victim in a population-based sample.

Based on the reviewed literature, we made the following predictions:

1. Men’s self-reported probability to encourage sexual contact with actual child and adolescent females is lower for related, compared to unrelated females.

2. Men’s self-reported probability to encourage sexual contact with actual child and adolescent females increases with the age of the child, but only for unrelated females.

3. Arousal and sexual interest in children is expected to have a stronger explanatory value in predicting the likelihood of CSA-E and non-biological daughters compared to biologically related female children and adolescents.

4. Individual difference characteristics (i.e., anti-sociality, empathy, cognitive distortions, substance abuse and childhood sexual abuse) have a stronger explanatory value in predicting the likelihood of CSA-E than CSA-I. The exception from this is experiences of childhood sexual abuse, for which a reversed pattern was expected, and substance abuse for which biological...
fathers were expected to be more prone to substance abuse than non-biological fathers and uncles.

Method

Respondents

We obtained data from a population-based survey of Finns (The Finn-Kin Study; Albrecht et al., 2014). From this data set, we selected 405 heterosexual men aged 18-56 years \( (M = 39.98, SD = 6.54) \). We included respondents with biological and/or non-biological female children to allow us to look at the effect of relatedness in CSA. The respondents were therefore selected on the grounds of having either a biological daughter, a niece, a non-biological daughter, a friend’s daughter, or any combination of these. Each respondent had between 1 and 4 relationships of interest, adding up to 1012 relationships of interest.

The sample was a randomized population-based sample with respondents’ addresses obtained through the Population Registry of Finland. An invitation letter was then sent to each responded. It included an address to the web-based survey as well as description of the study. Participation in the Finn-Kin study was both anonymous and voluntary, and all respondents gave their informed consent before taking part in the survey. As compensation, the respondents had the option of partaking in a lottery with the chance of winning a 1000€ or 500€ gift voucher of their choice.

Ethical Permission

The Finn-Kin study was approved by the Ethics Committee at the Department of Psychology and Logopedics at Abo Akademi University in 2012.

Measures

Age groups. We categorized the relationships of interest (hereafter, person) into three age groups: Children (ages 0 to 12), adolescents (ages 13 to 17), and adults (ages 18 or older). Number of observations, mean age and standard deviations for each of the four person types per age group are presented in Table 1.
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Person of interest</th>
<th>Children</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Adolescents</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Adults</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Age (M) (SD)</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Age (M) (SD)</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Age (M) (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological daughter</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>6.52 (3.56)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>14.93 (1.50)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>20.97 (2.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niece</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.93 (3.35)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>14.71 (1.56)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>23.34 (4.50)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-biological daughter</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.89 (2.42)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15.09 (1.22)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23.36 (5.16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daughter of a friend</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>6.63 (3.47)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>15.14 (1.32)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>21.68 (4.01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>643</td>
<td>6.46 (3.48)</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>14.96 (1.44)</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>22.07 (3.88)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Included 1089 persons of interest which was reduced to 1012 due to non-response in the results section. One-way ANOVAs within each age grouped showed that adult nieces than adult biological daughters ($p < .001$) and adult daughters of friends ($p < .05$), $F (3, 231) = 5.76, p < .001$. No other statistically significant differences were found.

**Self-perceived response to possible sexual invitation.** To measure self-perceived likelihood of continued sexual contact with a person, we created four different scenarios. Each scenario describes a situation where the person of interest behaves in a perceivably sexually inviting manner. All respondents read and responded to between one and four scenarios, depending on their number of persons of interest. To control for order effects, we randomized the order of the scenarios for each respondent. Each person of interest was then randomly assigned to one scenario. The setting for the scenarios was a beach, a sofa, a hot tub and a hotel room. An example of a scenario:

“Imagine that you and [name of the person] are in your house on a Friday evening watching a movie next to each other on the sofa. You are sharing a bowl of popcorn. You see [name of the person] reaching for the popcorn bowl in your lap. Suddenly you feel [name of the person] touching your genitals.”

After reading each scenario, respondents answered the questions “How likely would you encourage [name of the person] to continue touching you in a similar situation?” and “How aroused would you become in a similar situation?” Response scales ranged from 0 (not at all) to 100 (very likely) and 0 (not at all) to 100 (very aroused), respectively. The responses were coded as arousability and estimated probability of encouraging sexual contact.

**Measures of individual differences.** For all scales, range, mean, and measures of reliability are presented in Table 2. To measure sexual age preferences, we asked respondents to report the lowest imaginable age of a person they could
consider themselves having sex with. The age was given as a number between 0 and 100.

Table 2

Range, Mean, and Reliability for the Individual Measures Used in the Present Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>No. of items</th>
<th>α</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age preference&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0-100</td>
<td>21.95</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDI</td>
<td>Sexual desire</td>
<td>0-100</td>
<td>56.94</td>
<td>16.39</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRP-III</td>
<td>Psychopathy</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>.60</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRI</td>
<td>Empathy</td>
<td>0-100</td>
<td>60.35</td>
<td>14.30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRMA</td>
<td>Rape myth acceptance</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>.64</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUDIT</td>
<td>Alcohol use</td>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTQ</td>
<td>Sexual abuse&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>Note.</sup> SDI, Sexual Desire Inventory; SRP-III, Self-Report Psychopathy Test III; IRI, Interpersonal Reactivity Index; IRMA, Illinois Rape Myth Acceptance Scale; AUDIT, Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test; CTQ, Childhood Trauma Questionnaire.

<sup>a</sup>Reliability not available due to only one item used in the scale.

To measure sexual desire, we used the Sexual Desire Inventory (SDI, Spector, Carey, & Steinberg, 1996). The SDI is a reliable self-administered questionnaire intended to measure sexual desire. The two items loading highest on the factor measuring sexual desire with a partner and the factor measuring solitary sexual desire were included in this study.

To measure antisocial traits, we used the Self-Report-Psychopathy Test-II (SRP-III; Paulhus, Neumann, & Hare, 2015). We used the three highest loading items for from the four-factor solution. The SRP-III has been shown to have good validity and reliability (α = .86) in non-clinical and non-forensic samples (Mahmut, Menictas, Stevenson, & Homewood, 2011).

To measure individual differences in empathy, we used the Interpersonal Reactivity Index (IRI, Davis, 1980, 1983). The IRI is a 28-item self-report questionnaire that correlates well with other empathy measures and scales measuring emotionality and sensitivity to others (Davis, 1983).

To measure attitudes and beliefs about rape, we used the Illinois Rape Myth Acceptance Scale (IRMA; McMahon & Farmer, 2011). The construct validity and reliability of the scale is considered good and the scale has been used in both basic and applied research (e.g. Bohner & Lampridis, 2004).
To measure problematic alcohol use, we used the Alcohol Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT; Durbeej et al., 2010). The psychometric properties of abbreviated versions are considered as satisfactory as the original 10-item AUDIT version (Meneses-Gaya et al., 2010).

To measure experiences of sexual abuse, we used the item with the highest factor loading on the sexual abuse subscale in the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire short form (CTQ-SF). The CTQ-SF is a 28 item retrospective self-report inventory that can be used to provide reliable and valid information about traumatic childhood conditions (Bernstein et al., 2003).

**Procedure**

All data were collected with the survey-based Finn-Kin study of which more details can be found in Albrecht et al. (2014). In the survey, respondents were first asked to provide background information such as age and the number of female biological, non-biological children and nieces. Respondents were also asked to think of a male friend with a daughter. Secondly, the name and age of each person of interest was gathered. In case respondents reported having more than one person of interest of a certain type, e.g. biological daughter, the one closest to the respondent in age was chosen. To facilitate the respondents’ ability to imagine being with a person in the described scenarios the names of the persons of interest were asked and later used in a scenario description. The names were not saved in the data file to guarantee anonymity.

**Statistical Analyses**

We used the statistical program SPSS 22.0 (Version 24.0, IBM Corp., 2016) for all statistical analyses. Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE) was used in all analyses as the GEE accounts for correlated responses within the individual and can be used to measure level-differences of the effect of factorial independent variables in mixed-designs (Hardin & Hilbe, 2003). Furthermore, GEE can be used to approximate likelihood on a population level (Hanley, Negassa, Edwardes, & Forrester, 2003) which suited our need to assess a population-based sample. Significant interactions were further analyzed using simple bivariate correlations.

**Preliminary analyses.** A MANOVA showed that the associations between the probability of encouraging sexual contact, arousability, age, $F (4, 1012) = 2.48, p < .05$, Wilk’s $\Lambda = .99$, and relationship type, $F (6, 1012) = 11.55, p < .00$, Wilk’s $\Lambda = .93$, differed significantly. Because of this, we treated arousability and probability of
encouraging sexual contact, age and relationship types as separate in subsequent analyses.

Results

Relationship Types, Age Groups, and Men’s Self-Reported Probability to Encourage Sexual Contact

We first investigated the associations between men’s self-reported probability to encourage sexual contact with female by relationship type and female age. This was done as a full factorial model with the predictors relationship type and female age and men’s responses as the dependent variable. There was a statistically significant association for relationship type and for female age, and a statistically significant interaction (see Table 3).

Table 3

| Tests for Relationship Type, Age group and Men’s Self-Reported Probability to Encourage Sexual Contact |
|---|---|---|---|
| Type III tests | Predictor | Wald $\chi^2$ | $df$ | $P$ |
| Intercept | 25.96 | 1 | < .001 |
| Relationship Type | 27.31 | 3 | < .001 |
| Age Group | 6.20 | 2 | .045 |
| Relationship Type by Age Group | 15.61 | 6 | .016 |

Note. Based on 1012 observations clustered within 405 respondents.

A pairwise comparison between the levels of relationship type showed that men reported lower probability to encourage sexual contact with biological daughters than with nieces ($p = .025$) and friends’ daughters ($p < .001$). The difference between biological daughters and non-biological daughters was not statistically significant ($p = .678$). Neither was the difference between non-biological daughters and nieces ($p = .774$). The differences between friends’ daughters and all other groups were all statistically significant ($p < .001$, $p < .001$, and $p = .037$, respectively). A pairwise comparison between the levels of age groups showed that men reported a lower probability to encourage sexual contact with both children and adolescents compared to adults ($p = .013$, and $p = .028$, respectively). The difference between children and
adolescents was not statistically significant ($p = .409$). Concerning the interaction, pairwise comparisons within each level of relationship type showed that there were no statistically significant differences between age groups for biological daughters, nieces or non-biological daughters. For friends’ daughters, the probability to encourage sexual contact was significantly higher for adults compared to children and adolescents ($p < .001$, and $p = .029$, respectively). (See Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Men’s self-reported probability of encouraging sexual contact with females by female age and relationship type. Higher values indicate a higher self-reported probability. Error bars represent standard errors of the point estimates.](image)

Arousal, Age Preferences, and Sex Drive

Next, we investigated the associations between arousal, age preferences, and sex drive and men’s self-reported probability to encourage sexual contact with females. Again, we also considered the different relationship types and age groups. A GEE-model with arousability as a continuous predictor, age group and relationship type as factors, and men’s self-reported probability to encourage sexual contact as the outcome variable revealed a statistically significant positive association, $B = .69$, $SE$
There was a significant two-way interaction with age group, Wald $\chi^2[2] = 7.71, p = .021$, but not with relationship type, Wald $\chi^2[3] = 6.87, p = .076$. There was also a statistically significant three-way interaction, Wald $\chi^2[6] = 114.57, p < .001$. To further investigate the three-way interaction of arousal, age group and relationship type, we compared the correlation coefficients between arousal and men’s self-reported probability to encourage sexual contact for a combination of relationship type and age group. The correlation coefficients ranged from $r = .75$ to $r = .99$, with non-biological daughter being the only exception, $r = .05$. This observation was, however, based only on 8 observations. In sum, the probability to encourage sexual contact with females was strongly associated with arousability. The level of arousability for age groups varied depending on relationship type so that it was strongly correlated in all relationship types except for non-biological daughters.

For age preferences, we found no direct linear association with men’s self-reported probability to encourage sexual contact females, $B = - .97, SE = 0.37$, Wald $\chi^2[1] = 1.85, p = .174$. Also, we found no statistically significant two- or three-way interactions, Wald $\chi^2[2] = 1.85, p = .174$, Wald $\chi^2[3] = 4.45, p = .216$, and Wald $\chi^2[6] = 9.35, p = .155$, respectively.

For sex drive, a similar GEE model revealed that sex drive did not show a direct linear relationship with men’s self-reported probability to encourage sexual contact with females, $B = .39, SE = 0.16$, Wald $\chi^2[1] = 0.00, p = .996$. There were no statistically significant two-way interactions, Wald $\chi^2[2] = 0.16, p = .923$ and Wald $\chi^2[3] = 5.12, p = .163$ for age group and relationship type, respectively, or three-way interaction, Wald $\chi^2[6] = 4.31, p = .635$.

In conclusion, age preferences and sex drive were not significantly associated with the probability to encourage sexual contact. Arousability, on the other hand, was strongly correlated with the probability to encourage sexual contact for all age groups and relationship types with the exception of non-biological daughters.

**Personality Traits, Rape Attitudes, Experiences of Sexual Abuse, and Alcohol Use**

Finally, we investigated the associations between personality traits (antisocial personality traits and empathy), experiences of child sexual abuse, alcohol use and men’s self-reported probability to encourage sexual contact with females. A GEE-model with antisocial traits as a continuous predictor, age group and
relationship type as factors, and men’s self-reported probability to encourage sexual contact as the outcome variable did not show a direct linear relationship, $B = 10.26$, $SE = 4.97$, Wald $\chi^2 [1] = 2.58$, $p = .108$. There were no statistically significant two-way interactions, Wald $\chi^2 [2] = 5.47$, $p = .065$ and Wald $\chi^2 [3] = 5.05$, $p = .168$ for age group and relationship type, nor a three-way interaction, Wald $\chi^2 [6] = 8.50$, $p = .204$. Therefore, antisocial traits were not associated with the probability to encourage sexual contact.

For empathy, a similar GEE model revealed a statistically significant positive association, $B = -.20$, $SE = 0.23$, Wald $\chi^2 [1] = 4.42$, $p = .004$. We found no statistically significant two- or three-way interactions, Wald $\chi^2 [2] = 2.82$, $p = .243$, Wald $\chi^2 [3] = 2.41$, $p = .492$, and Wald $\chi^2 [6] = 3.50$, $p = .744$, respectively. As expected, lower empathy was associated with a higher probability to encourage sexual contact with females. This finding was not pronounced in any specific age group or relationship type making it a general risk factor for CSA.

For rape attitudes, a similar GEE model revealed a statistically significant positive association, $B = 2.71$, $SE = 5.28$, Wald $\chi^2 [1] = 3.90$, $p = .048$. There were no two-way or three-way interactions with age group and relationship type, Wald $\chi^2 [2] = 2.36$, $p = .307$, Wald $\chi^2 [3] = 6.10$, $p = .107$, and Wald $\chi^2 [6] = 6.98$, $p = .323$, respectively. As expected, stronger attitudes of diminishing rape were associated with a higher probability to encourage sexual contact with females.

For alcohol use, we found a statistically significant positive association, $B = 10.03$, $SE = 3.64$, Wald $\chi^2 [1] = 6.71$, $p = .010$. There was also a two-way interaction with age group, Wald $\chi^2 [2] = 8.89$, $p = .012$, but not with relationship type, Wald $\chi^2 [3] = 6.78$, $p = .079$. We did not find a statistically significant three-way interaction, Wald $\chi^2 [6] = 12.56$, $p = .050$. To further investigate the two-way interaction of alcohol use and age group, we compared the correlation coefficients between alcohol use and men’s self-reported probability to encourage sexual contact for each of the three age groups. The association was positive for adult females, $r = .20$, but weakly negative for children, $r = -.19$, and approximately null for adolescents, $r = -.04$. As expected, higher alcohol use was associated with a higher probability to encourage sexual contact with females. The interaction effect of alcohol use and age group indicated that alcohol use is positively associated with self-reported probability to encourage sexual contact with adult females and negatively correlated with probability to encourage sexual contact with children.
For experiences of sexual abuse during childhood, we found no direct linear association with men’s self-reported probability to encourage sexual contact females, $B = 7.76$, $SE = 5.75$, Wald $\chi^2 [1] = 0.14$, $p = .710$. There was no statistically significant two-way interaction with age group, Wald $\chi^2 [2] = 1.95$, $p = .378$. We found a statistically significant two-way interaction with relationship type, Wald $\chi^2 [2] = 6.54$, $p = .038$. There was no statistically significant three-way interaction, Wald $\chi^2 [3] = 4.65$, $p = .200$. To further investigate the two-way interaction of experiences of child sexual abuse and relationship type, we compared the correlation coefficients between experiences of child sexual abuse and men’s self-reported probability to encourage sexual contact for each of the four relationship types. The correlations in each group were all non-significant and close to null, but ranged from $r = -.03$ for nieces to $r = 0.7$ for friends’ daughters. For non-biological daughters, the correlation was not calculable due to the small number of observations. In conclusion, experiences of childhood sexual abuse were not significantly associated with men’s self-reported probability to encourage females.

**Discussion**

The aim of the current study was to investigate men’s self-reported probability to encourage sexual contact with actual child and adolescent females. We obtained self-report data from a population-based sample of 405 adult Finnish males. These males reported their probability to encourage sexual contact for biological daughters, nieces, non-biological daughters and friends’ daughters. We also considered the age of the females, and a number of individual factors shown to be associated with CSA. To the best of our knowledge, the current study was the first to investigate men’s self-reported probability to encourage sexual contact with young females, while separating between various types of extra-familial child sexual abuse (CSA-E) and intra-familial child sexual abuse (CSA-I).

**Encouraging Sexual Contact as a Function of Female Age and Relationship Type**

Deriving predictions from evolutionary psychology, female age was expected to be positively correlated with encouraging sexual contact only for non-biological daughters and friends’ daughters. For biological daughters and nieces, we expected that increased maturity would not increase the probability of encouraging sexual contact. We found that female age is positively associated with encouraging sexual contact.
contact with friends’ daughters. A similar but not statistically significant pattern was found also for non-biological daughters. For biological daughters and nieces there was no indication of an association between female age and men’s probability of encouraging sexual contact. This is line with earlier findings in which the risk of CSA-E increases with the age of the child (Bergen et al., 2012). In cases of CSA-I the victims tend to be young a pre-pubertal to avoid the risk of inbreeding which increases with puberty (Ventus et al., 2017) but we did not find evidence to support this theory.

Moreover, this model also partly corroborated earlier findings showing that biological relatedness decreases sexual attraction in general (e.g., Antfolk, Lieberman, et al., 2012; Lespiau & Kaminski, 2016). We found that men reported a lower probability to encourage sexual contact with biological daughters compared to nieces, and a lower probability to encourage sexual contact with nieces compared to unrelated daughters. This is in line with evolutionary models suggesting that the costs of inbreeding has led to specific inbreeding avoidance mechanisms (e.g., Antfolk, 2014; Lieberman & Antfolk, 2015). It could also explain why distant relatives are relatively over-represented as offenders in intra-familial child sexual abuse cases. In fact, Fanslow et al. (2006) found uncles to be more than twice as likely perpetrators of CSA-I and grandparents as likely as biological and non-biological fathers. Due to the small sample size of non-biological daughters, it is difficult to draw any strong conclusions regarding this group. It is possible that there is a stronger sexual interest in non-biological daughters that remained undetected in the current study. It is also possible that non-biological daughters are perceived similar to biological relatives, and thus receive very similar treatment (e.g., Antfolk, Karlsson, Söderlund, & Szala, 2017).

**Arousalability, Age Preferences, and Sexual Desire**

Due to the adapted psychology of incest aversion we expected arousability, age preferences and sexual desire to predict the likelihood of CSA for non-biological daughters and friends’ daughters but not for biological daughters and nieces. As expected, self-reported arousal was strongly related to men’s self-reported probability to encourage sexual contact with friends’ daughters but surprisingly also with biological daughters and nieces. However, arousability did not predict the likelihood of encouraging continued sexual contact with non-biological daughters, thereby suggesting that other inhibitors might be in place for non-biological
daughters than in the three other relationship types. It is, however, worth to note that the sample used in our study was very small and a bigger sample might yield different results. Our findings suggest that sexual motivation was an important predictor in these hypothetical scenarios, and that much less could be explained by inhibiting or facilitating factors. Arousability can be perceived as a motivating factor for CSA in accordance with both Finklehor’s model and the motivation-facilitation model. However, arousability in this case is a situational motivating factor and not a persistent sexual desire and preference for children and adolescents such as pedophilia. Few studies have looked at the role of situational arousal in CSA. In one of the few studies looking at the role of situational arousal in CSA, Ariely and Loewenstein (2006) also reached the conclusion that arousal increases interests in 12-year-olds compared to a non-aroused state.

In the current study, we did not find any evidence of age preferences or sex drive being associated with men’s self-reported probability to encourage sexual contact with females. This differs from previous studies where pedophilia is seen as the prime motivational factor for CSA (e.g. Seto, 2008) or a high sex drive is often present in men interested in children aged 15 or younger (Sanftila et al., 2015). As few men reported sexual interest in children and adolescents in our study, it is possible that a bigger sample of men with a sexual interest in children might yield different results. Nevertheless, these findings suggest that arousal and not atypical sexual interest or sex drive might help explain both CSA-E and CSA-I.

**Personality Traits, Rape Attitudes, Experiences of Sexual Abuse, and Alcohol Use**

We expected antisocial traits to be more pronounced in non-biological daughters and friends’ daughters. However, when looking at a population sample we did not find antisocial traits to be significantly associated with CSA regards to any age nor relationship type. This differs from earlier findings were antisocial traits have been found to be associated with CSA-E (Hanson & Morton-Bourgon, 2005; Pullman et al., 2017; Rice & Harris, 2002). It is possible that small-scale antisocial traits present in a normal population do not give rise to behavior present in convicted child sex offenders.

We expected empathy to be an inhibiting factor and a facilitating factor when empathy is lowered in accordance with previous findings (Mephail et al., 2013; Seto et al., 2015). Empathy was expected to be more present in CSA-E as it reflects a cognitive dissonance. However, our findings suggest a general tendency not specific
to any age group or relationship type, where lower empathy is a facilitating risk factor for CSA or in reverse a higher level of empathy is a protective inhibitive factor for CSA. This is in line with previous studies that have identified different types of empathy deficits in CSA-E and CSA-I offenders (McPhail et al., 2013; Seto et al., 2015).

Likewise, cognitive distortions and rape attitudes, which can be categorized under antisocial traits, have been found to increase the risk of CSA-E (Seto et al., 2015). Although we expected maladaptive beliefs to be more present in CSA-E than CSA-I we found it to be a general risk factor, not specific to any age group or relationship type. This is in line with Wards (2000) suggestion that cognitive distortions might differ between child sex offenders.

We found that higher alcohol use was associated with a higher probability to encourage sexual contact with adult females but not with children or adolescents. There was also no significant difference with regards to relationship type. This differs somewhat from previous findings where alcohol use has been more pronounced in biological fathers than uncles and non-biological fathers (Pullman et al., 2017). Nonetheless it is in line with Seto et al. (2015) review article in which no difference was found between CSA-I and CSA-E regarding substance abuse. In addition, alcohol abuse seems to be more prevalent among adult sex offenders compared to child sex offenders (Blumenthal, Gudjonsson, & Burns, 1999) which our study also confirms.

Experiences of childhood sexual abuse was not significantly associated with men’s self-reported probability to encourage females in our study. This differs from previous studies where a history of sexual abuse has been found to be more present in CSA-I than CSA-E (Jespersen et al., 2009; Seto et al., 2015). This might suggest that a history of sexual abuse alone is not a significant factor in predicting CSA-I and that the normal population might differ from offender samples.

Overall, individual factors did not successfully explain CSA-E nor CSA-I in our study. We found cognitive distortions and low empathy to be general risk factors not associated with specific ages or relationship types. This suggests that other factors play an important role in both CSA-E and CSA-I.

**Strengths and Limitations of the Current Study**

This current study was conducted in using a large population-based sample. Importantly, it studied men in the general population, whereas offender samples tend
to suffer from various forms of potential selection bias (Seto et al., 2015). Thereby the results of this study can be generalized to a broader population of individuals, including individuals that may be at increased risk of offending. Nevertheless, our sample included only a small number of non-biological daughters. Because of this, it is difficult to draw strong conclusions regarding factors associated with men’s probability of encouraging sexual contact with non-biological daughters.

Measuring propensity for behaviors can be problematic, especially when utilizing a survey method (e.g., Voller, Long, & Aosved, 2009). Research investigating the propensity for sexually aggressive behavior and sexual offending against adults reveal promising results using written scenarios describing situations in which various forms of sexual aggression might occur (e.g., Chapleau & Oswald, 2010; Chiroro, Bohner, Viki, & Jarvis, 2004). It is, however, important to note that our measure does not necessarily measure the actual risk of committing CSA. Rather, it measures the perceived probability of encouraging continued sexual contact as a response to behavior initiated by a female child, adolescent or adult. According to Finkelhor’s (1986) model, one inhibitor is the potential resistance of the child and another is the presence of an intervening adult. Our formulation of the scenarios might thus reduce the threshold for imagining a continued sexual contact. Further investigation is, however, necessary to confirm the supposed association between the current measure and actual sexual behavior.

It is also important to note that several previously investigated risk and protective factors were not included in the current study. For example, marital discordance and family factors such as harsh discipline, poor attachment etc., have been associated with both CSA-E and CSA-I (Whitaker et al., 2008). With respect to CSA-I, marital conflict might deprive the man of his adult partner, after which the daughter might become a surrogate partner for the biological or non-biological father (Rice & Harris, 2002). Another relevant family factor not investigated in the current study is intimate partner violence, which increases the risk for various forms child neglect and maltreatment (Brown, Cohen, Johnson, & Salzinger, 1998) and CSA (Ramírez, Pinzón-Rondón, & Botero, 2011).

**Conclusion and Implications for Further Research**

Prior research has demonstrated that atypical sexual interest in children is a strong motivating factor for CSA-E and that individual factors such as antisocial personality traits is a facilitating factor (Seto et al., 2015). Neither factor seems to
explain CSA-I as a phenomenon (Pullman et al., 2017; Seto et al., 2015). Besides cognitive distortions and lower empathy being associated with a general tendency for CSA, no other individual factors were significantly related to CSA-I. Therefore, it is important to consider factors, such as arousal, that might impair the ability to regulate sexual motivations or other factors that might reduce the ability to detect kin and therefore result in faulty inbreeding avoidance. One such factor is paternity certainty (e.g. Antfolk, 2014). Non-biological children are a particularly interesting group, as it remains unclear to whether, and if so, under which conditions, sexual interest in non-biological children is decreased due to inbreeding avoidance systems. To further investigate this group a bigger sample is, however, needed. Our data indicates non-biological children might be at increased risk of experiencing CSA just as they are for other types of child abuse.

Although we found female age to be positively associated with encouraging sexual contact with friend’s daughters and an inclination of it affecting non-biological daughters, the role of age needs more scrutiny. This is especially true for CSA-I where the victims tend to be younger. Furthermore, as our results indicate that the individual factors examined in our study did not predict CSA-E, further studies on factors explaining propensity for CSA-E in non-offender samples are needed. One particularly important factor might be situational arousal, which was strongly correlated with CSA-E propensity in the current study.
Anna Albrecht
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SWEDISH SUMMARY

Mäns självrapporterade benägenhet att uppmuntra sexuell kontakt med kvinnliga barn och ungdomar: betydelsen av släktspår och individuella skillnader

Den globala förekomsten av sexuellt utnyttjande av barn (hädanefter SUB) har uppskattats vara 12,7% (Stoltenborgh et al., 2011). SUB som förekommer inom familjen, hädanefter SUB-I, har ansetts vara associerad med mer psykologiskt lidande (t.ex. Magalhães m.fl., 2009). Offren för SUB-I är i genomsnitt yngre och utnyttjandet pågår en längre tid (t.ex. Seto, Babchishin, Pullman, & McPhail, 2015; Ventus, Antfolk, & Salo, 2017) jämfört med sexuellt utnyttjande av barn som sker utanför familjen, hädanefter SUB-U. Största delen av dömda förövare är män som känner sina offer (Seto, 2008), och upp till en tredjedel är släkt med offret (Ogrodnik, 2010). Farbröder, morbröder, farfärder, och mopräder, bröder, och kusiner är med större sannolikhet förövare jämfört med biologiska och icke-biologiska färder (Fanslow et al., 2007). Då SUB-I och SUB-U skiljer sig i flera avseenden är det tänkbart att motiverande, inhiberande och främjande faktorer förknippade med SUB också skiljer sig mellan dessa två former av sexuella utnyttjanden. Det är således viktigt att förstå skillnaderna i riskfaktorer för att skapa bättre preventionsstrategier och rehabiliteringsprogram för både offer och förövare.


En annan allmän riskfaktor för SUB är antisocialitet eller psykopati vilket anses indikera en generell tendens för kriminellt beteende (Seto, 2008). Förövare av
SUB-I anses vanligen påvisa mindre antisociala tendenser jämfört med förövare utanför familjen (Beggs & Grace, 2008; Rice & Harris, 2002; Seto & Barbaree, 1999) men en del studier har kommit till motsatt slutsats (Jabbar, 2007; Porter m.fl., 2000). Pullman m.fl. (2017) påstod att även om resultatet inte var statistiskt säkerställt påvisar icke-biologiska släktingar mer antisociala tendenser än förövare som är biologisk släkt med offert.

Andra forskare har kommit till slutsatsen att förövare ofta har brister i empati (Fernandez, Marshall, Lightbody, & O'Sullivan, 1999; Ward m.fl., 1996; Whitaker m.fl., 2008). Förövare utanför familjen har visats ha mindre empati för offret och vara mer fientliga mot kvinnor jämfört med förövare inom familjen (Seto m.fl., 2015). Forskarna föreslog att lägre empati hos förövare utanför familjen kan förklaras av kognitiv dissonans (dvs. att undvika en negativ självbild genom att förvränga uppfattningen om offret eller misshandeln). Däremot kan låg empatisk kongruens med offret enligt forskarna förklaras av emotionell eller social omogenhet hos besläktade förövare. Således anses också kognitiva faktorer såsom vanartade övertygelser eller förvrängt tänkande en riskfaktor för SUB (e.g. Finkelhor, 1984; Seto m.fl., 2015). Ward (2000) poängterade att det kan finnas skillnader mellan förövares kognitiva avvikelser, vilket gör det svårt att kategorisera förövare.


Sammanfattningsvis är antisociala särdrag, empatibrister, kognitiv förvrängning och alkoholanvändning mer förekommande inom SUB-U jämfört med SUB-I. Däremot anses sexuell utnyttjning som barn vara mer förekommande bland förövare av SUB-I.

Målsättningen med studien var att undersöka mäns självrapporterade benägenhet att uppmuntra sexuell kontakt med faktiska kvinnliga barn och ungdomar. Vi tog i beaktande släktskapsbandet, kvinnornas ålder och individuella faktorer som anses vara riskfaktorer för SUB. Få studier har tidigare skiljt på olika typer av SUB-I genom att jämföra fäder, morbröder, farbröder och icke-biologiska fäder gällande riskfaktorer för SUB (Pullman m.fl., 2017; Rice & Harris, 2002; Seto m.fl., 2015).

Följande hypoteser beträffande mäns självrapporterade benägenhet att uppmuntra sexuell kontakt med kvinnliga barn och ungdomar formades baserat på existerande forskning:

1. Benägenheten för sexuellt utnyttjande av barn och unga är större bland män som inte är biologiskt släkt med barnen.
2. Benägenheten ökar i relation med barnets ålder men bara om barnet inte är en biologisk släkting.
4. Individuella särdrag (dvs. antisocialitet, empati, kognitiv förvrängning, alkoholanvändning och sexuellt utnyttjande i barndomen) förklarar i
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större utsträckning sannolikheten av SUB-U än SUB-I. Undantaget är sexuellt utnyttjande i barndomen för vilken ett omvänt samband förväntades.

**Metod**

Den etiska nämnden vid Åbo Akademi beviljade studien etiskt tillstånd år 2012. Data vi använde härstammade från en populationsbaserad enkätstudie av finländare (Finn-Kin; Albrecht m.fl., 2014). Deltagarna \( N = 405 \) i vår studie var heterosexuella män mellan åldrarna 18 och 56 \( (M = 39.98, SD = 6.54) \) och valdes på grund av att de hade rapporterat att de hade antingen en biologisk dotter, en bror/systerdotter, en icke-biologisk dotter, en vän med en dotter eller en kombination av dessa, sammanlagt 1012 relationer av intresse. Namnen på relationerna av intresse (hädanefter personer) hade erhållits tidigare under studien men sparades inte i datafilen för att garantera anonymitet. Urvalet bestod av slumpmässigt valda personer på populationsnivå vars adresser hade erhållits från Befolkningsregistreringcentralen. Inbjudan till att delta i en elektronisk enkät samt en beskrivning av studien skickades till deltagarna via post. Att delta i studien var frivilligt och anonymt, och efteråt hade deltagarna möjlighet att ta del av ett presentkortslotteri.

Personerna av intresse kategoriserades i tre ålderskategorier. Barngruppen bestod av barn i åldern 0 till 12, ungdomsgruppen av ungdomar mellan åldrarna 13 och 17 och vuxengruppen av personer över 18 år.


För analyserande av resultaten användes statistikprogrammet SPSS 22.0 (Version 24.0; IBM Corp., 2016). Generaliserade Estimeringsekvationer (GEE) användes i alla analyser varefter signifikanta interaktioner analyserades genom enkla bivariata korrelationer.

Resultat


Till följande undersökte vi sambandet mellan upphetsning, ålderspreferenser samt sexualdrift och mäns självrapporterade benägenhet att uppmuntra sexuell kontakt. Vi hittade ett starkt positivt samband mellan benägenhet och upphetsning, $B = .69, SE = 0.08$, Wald $\chi^2 [1] = 178.45, p < .001$. En statistiskt säkerställt trevägsinteraktion (Wald $\chi^2 [6] = 114.57, p < .001$) mellan upphetsning, åldersgrupp och relationsgrupp utsattes för enkel bivariatanalys. Analysen visade en positiv korrelation av $r = .05$ för icke-biologiska döttrar och korrelationer mellan $r = .75$ och
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$r = .99$ för de övriga grupperna. Observationen av icke-biologiska döttrar grundades på endast 8 observationer. Upphetsning i de olika åldersgrupperna varierade enligt relationstyp så att den var starkt korrelerat i alla grupper förutom för icke-biologiska döttrar.


För de övriga individuella faktorerna, dvs. antisociala särdrag, ålderpreferenser, sexualdrift och erfarenhet av sexuell utnyttjning i barndomen hittade vi inte ett statistiskt säkerställt samband med benägenhet.

**Diskussion**

Syftet med studien var att undersöka faktorer som påverkar mäns benägenhet att sexuellt utnyttja barn och unga och samtidigt skilja mellan biologiska fäder, icke-biologiska fäder samt mor/farbröder som potentiella förövare i ett populationsurval.
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På grund av människans tendens att uppfatta sex mellan närbesläktade sextners som motbjudande förväntade vi oss att upphetsning, ålderspreferenser och sexualdrift skulle predicera benägenhet att uppmuntra sexuell kontakt för icke-biologiska döttrar och vänners döttrar men inte för biologiska döttrar och syskonbarn. Förvånansvärt nog var upphetsning strakt korrelerad med benägenhet att uppmuntra sexuell kontakt med såväl vänners döttrar som biologiska döttrar och syskonbarn. Eftersom vi inte hittade en korrelation mellan benägenhet och upphetsning för icke-biologiska döttrar är det sannolikt att det finns andra faktorer som inhibitor mäns benägenhet för SUB i denna relationsgrupp. Än en gång var urvalet för icke-biologiska döttrar för litet för att dra definitiva slutsatser och ett större urval kunde möjlichen medföra andra resultat.

Sexualdrift och ålderspreferenser visade sig däremot inte vara korrelerade med mäns benägenhet att uppmuntra sexuell kontakt med barn och unga. Det här skiljer sig från tidigare studier där pedofilt intresse har ansetts vara den huvudsakliga
motiverande faktorn (t.ex. Seto, 2008). Tillika tenderar män som är intresserade av barn och unga under 15 år att ha en stark sexualdrift (Santtila m.fl., 2015). Eftersom endast få män rapporterade sexuellt intresse av barn och unga i vår studie är det möjligt att ett större sampel med män intresserade av barn och unga skulle visa annorlunda resultat. Trots allt, tyder våra resultat på att situationsbunden upphetsning och inte avvikande sexuellt intresse eller sexualdrift kan förklara både SUB-U och SUB-I.


Den nuvarande studien baserade sig på ett populationsurval vilket möjliggjorde undersökande av män tillhörande den allmänna befolkningen, till skillnad från flertalet andra studier av brottslingar där olika former av urvals bias förekommit (Seto m.fl., 2015). Däremot hade vi endast ett litet urval av icke-biologiska döttrar i vår studie, vilket gör det svårt att dra slutsatser om vilka faktorer påverkar denna grupps risk att utsättas för SUB.


Det är också viktigt att nämna att vi inte inkluderade en del tidigare studerade riskfaktorer som t.ex. äktenskapsproblem, intimt partnervåld och familjefaktorer...
såsom disciplinär uppförsning och dålig anknytning i vår studie (Ramírez, Pinzón-Rondón, & Botero, 2011; Rice & Harris, 2002; Whitaker m.fl., 2008).
Situationsbunden upphetsning kan förklara mäns benägenhet att sexuellt utnyttja barn och ungdomar både innanför och utanför familjen

Resultaten från en pro gradu-avhandling vid Åbo Akademi tyder på att mäns benägenhet att uppmuntra sexuell kontakt med kvinnliga barn och unga, både innanför och utanför familjen, delvis kan förklaras av situationsbunden upphetsning. I studien undersökt inverkan av släktskapsband och riskfaktorer associerade med sexuellt utnyttjande av barn. Resultaten indikerar att sysonbarn och icke-besläktade barn har större risk att utsättas för sexuell misshandel jämfört med biologiska barn.

Undersökningen utfördes av pro gradu-skribent Anna Albrecht under handledning av postdoktoral forskare Jan Antfolk inom ramen för projektet "Finn-Kin" vid Åbo Akademi.

Studien visar också att individuella skillnader i exempelvis antisociala särdrag och brister i empati samt en sexuell preferens för barn och unga inte förklarar mäns benägenhet att sexuellt utnyttja barn och unga. Det här indikerar enligt Albrecht att det krävs fortsatt forskning i andra möjliga riskfaktorer, såsom situationsbunden upphetsning som skulle kunna hjälpa förklara varför män sexuellt utnyttjar barn och unga både inom och utanför familjen.

Deltagarna bestod av 405 heterosexuella vuxna män. Materialet samlades in med hjälp av ett frågeformulär där deltagarna svarade på frågor gällande antisociala särdrag, empatiförmåga, upphetsningsgrad och benägenhet att uppmuntra barn och unga till sexuell kontakt.
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