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Develop of CSDP

- Maastricht Treaty 1992
  Establishing CFSP

- EU
- WEU

- “Petersberg Tasks” declaration 01.10.1992
  WEU

- The Treaty of Amsterdam 02.10 1997
  CFSP

- EU
- WEU

- Summit in Sant Malo (France and British meeting) 3 – 4 12.1998
  Declaration of ability to take independent actions

- EU
- WEU

- EU Council in Cologne June 1999

- EU
- WEU

- Decision about ability to conduct military operations

- EU Council in Helsinki December 1999
  EUROPEAN HEADLINE GOAL – EHG ESDP

- EU
- WEU

- The Treaty of Nice 26.02.2001

- EU

- Political Security Council - PSC
- Military Committee - MC
- European Union Military Staff - EUMS
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CSDP is cooperation between the EU states under control of common EU institutions in order to increase the role of EU in the European zone of safety by deploy troops and resources of Member States to build conditions for political, military, inner and external reaction and to prepare military structures to take action of both political and military nature.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>Chances</th>
<th>Threats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Using of globalization process (megatrend)</td>
<td>Regionalization – cooperation multidisciplinary between region’s state, easy to develop economy.</td>
<td>Fragmented regionalization reduction significance of small states, competition between different groups of interests and states in region – <strong>military conflicts</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fight against extremism (terrorism)</td>
<td>Increase of security for people, integration security systems, limitation of extremists’ movements</td>
<td>Causalities, <strong>new military conflicts</strong> within European region, lack of social integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing regional conflicts</td>
<td>Military security, limitation possibilities of war, better cooperation within NATO and EU</td>
<td>The escalation of the <strong>threat of war</strong>, reducing regional solidarity (EU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use corporatizations of the economies</td>
<td>Growth states and their importance (stabilization), regional integration</td>
<td>Omission of the state's role in the distribution of profits - weakness integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To introduce coherent financial strategy, efficient fluctuation of finances</td>
<td>Economic growth of the region</td>
<td>Fragmentation entities, disproportion of GDP, income per capita, wealth of societies - <strong>regional conflicts</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of new technology and research, economic innovation</td>
<td>The advantage of the economies of the countries in the region in terms of global competition, opportunity to exchange technical ideas - regional integration</td>
<td>Reduce the importance of the region in a globalized world</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Challenges</td>
<td>Chances</td>
<td>Threats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensuring the GDP growth the state of the region</td>
<td>Financial and economic security, competitiveness of the region</td>
<td>Stagnation, loss of credibility, lowering the status and standard of living - conflicts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To find common values in area of policy based on <strong>key</strong> common interests and activity</td>
<td>Coherent policy, consolidation and joint actions for the security of the region's population - to reduce the likelihood of armed conflict</td>
<td>The weakness of the region as a reliable partner in international relations. Loss of common goals. The possibility of confrontation or action on their own, which could have a negative impact on individual <strong>military state</strong> (mostly less)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase of meaning different cultures and religious in Europe</td>
<td>By enabling economic growth to contribute to the development of the country</td>
<td>Internal conflicts including the <strong>military</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To become independence from the monopolistic practices of energy supplies and raw materials</td>
<td>Energy independence of the region. The increase in investment in new technologies, renewable energy, diversification of supplies</td>
<td>Conflicts on the substrate access to energy and supplies – <strong>military conflicts.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In process aspects:

• Regionalization of international relations – proliferation of conflicts;

• International (cultural and religious) extremists;

• The radicalization of nationalist aspirations in Europe;

• Multiculturalism, lack of understanding and afraid of others cultures;

• Unification of international law, which can cause not favorable solutions for all entities;

• Lack of cooperation between main entities in region, including states, EU and NATO;

• Increasing asymmetric threats;

• Disintegration in EU (as a result of generations gap);

• Russia's imperial aspirations.
Military threats in processing aspect

• Reinforcement of military ability,
• Proliferation of weapons of mass destruction,
• Military exercise on very high extend, conducted especially along the borders,
• Aggressive security policies and strategies, alliances individual countries,
• Separatist aspirations of sub regions,
• Fragmented regionalization.
**Military threats in entitling aspect**

\[ P_p = N_{m,n} \times W \]

On the military security of the region will always have an impact:

\[ B_m = P_p \times P_z \]

- \( B_m \) – military security
- \( P_p \) – potential entity
- \( N_{m,n} \) – military and non-military measures
- \( P_z \) – external policy (will)
- \( W \) – quality factor

**Main entities:**

- Independent countries
- EU - CSDP
- USA in Europe
- OSCE
- NATO
- European Council
In places and regions aspect:

- Situation in BiH and Kosovo
- Islam State – extremists expansion
- Ukrainian conflict
- Africa conflicts (Libya, Mali, Somalia, Sudan, …)
- Middle East conflicts
- In the future arctic?
EU MODEL

- Taking decisions about membership
- Control and coordination
- Cooperation
- Appointment
- Institution and authorities
- National Institution and authorities, countries

Citizens of member states
### positive and negative scenarios

| domain estimation | -4 | -3.8 | -3.6 | -3.4 | -3.2 | -3  | -2.8 | -2.6 | -2.4 | -2.2 | -2  | -1.8 | -1.6 | -1.4 | -1.2 | -1  | 1   | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2   | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3   | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 4   |
|-------------------|----|------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| economic          |    |      |      |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| international     |    |      |      |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| political         |    |      |      |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| technological     |    |      |      |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| cultural          |    |      |      |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |

### the most likely scenario

| domain estimation | -4 | -3.8 | -3.6 | -3.4 | -3.2 | -3  | -2.8 | -2.6 | -2.4 | -2.2 | -2  | -1.8 | -1.6 | -1.4 | -1.2 | -1  | 1   | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2   | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3   | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 4   |
|-------------------|----|------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| economic          |    |      |      |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| international     |    |      |      |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| political         |    |      |      |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| technological     |    |      |      |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| cultural          |    |      |      |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
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The military aspect of CFSP, as an expression of CSDP, are the battle groups of the EU. The battle groups are the combat units that have significant military potential (from 1,500 to 2,500 soldiers). The core of these forces is a subdivision, the potential of the battalion, reinforced by the units of support and security operations.
The conditions to establish military forces:

1. Needs (challenges and threats).

2. Political aspect - taking command and decision to launch defence action in case of needs. Cooperation between NATO and EU, decrease national interests.

3. Strategic – main common goal, survive and develop in globalized world.

4. Operational ability (to have especially structure of command and designated units from member state) under international command.

ALL OF THEM MUST BE FULFILLED
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Yes or No</th>
<th>comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Needs</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Political</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Strategic</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>It is possible to work out mechanisms and procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>Y/N</td>
<td>Costs, NATO, long time process, training (cost/result)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RESULT**

**NO**
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