



Towards Perfection Step by Step – User Experience Design and Evaluation in the Context of Digital Libraries

Heli Kautonen, Head of Services, The National Library of Finland 27.6.2013 Liber Conference, Munich, Germany





Emerging themes

- Constantly changing user needs
- Useful information about non-users
- Local viewpoints
- User involvement in design
- Evaluation methods and criteria
- New viewpoints



Constantly changing user needs

- User experience should be the focus when a digital library is developed. (Ji, 2009)
- Digital library designers should not copy solutions (such as Google-like search) preferred by users but use them as inspiration to their own design. (Khoo and Hall, 2012; Case: IPL)
- → Design principle: Design aims for possible futures, not for the present or the past. (Krippendorff, 2006)



Useful information about non-users

- In order to prevent users abandoning the service, developers should pay attention to users' habits and the appeal of the interface, and study motivations for using the service. (Agosti, 2010; Case: TEL)
- Users may perceive the service positively at the beginning, but their experiences may discourage using the service further. (Dobreva and Chowdhury, 2010; Case: Europeana)



Local viewpoints

- Resource-based requirements dictate the usability design and evaluation. (Phiri, 2012; Case: Bonolo)
- Idetification of local digital library users and their needs helps in specifying design challenges for the library. (Sastry et al., 2011)
- → Design principle: Know your users. (E.g. Krippendorff, 2006)



User involvement in design

- Involvement of users in the design process provides better information on users' preferences. (Birrell et al., 2010; Case: Europeana)
- Participatory design approach and iterative improvement of design concepts and activities provide good results. (Somerville and Brar, 2009; Case: Cal Poly systems)



Evaluation methods and criteria 1/2

- Logs alone cannot provide sufficient data of users and their preferences. Combination of methods provide better insight into users' needs. (Agosti et al., 2009; Case: TEL)
- Usability goes together with usefulness, and thus the criteria and metrics should include both aspects. (Buchanan and Salako, 2009; Case: health service portal)



Evaluation methods and criteria 2/2

- Development of a universal measurement instrument for assessing the usability of digital libraries. (Joo and Lee, 2011)
- General user preferences and appreciations based on comparison of digital library user interfaces. (Miller et al., 2012)
- The heterogenity of definitions and lack of methodological consistency make it difficult to compare the quality of digital libraries and construct common criteria and measures. (Heradio et al., 2012)
- → Attitude on the field: making is more important than measuring.



New viewpoints

- The scope and purpose of new discovery service tools may not be in keeping with users' actual needs and contexts of use. Well-tried design or evaluation techniques can be applied independent of the type of service. (Fagan et al., 2012; Case: EBSCO)
- The mental model of the evaluator affects the final result. Users could also be involved in the evaluation process. (Khoo et al., 2012)
- For measuring impact: the Impact-ED framework. (Kostkova and Madle, 2013; Case: medical digital library)
- → Effective user experience design and evaluation is a long process.



For seekers of information and inspiration

Finna is a new kind of information search service for all users of archives, libraries and museums.

Finna is currently in test use. Try the search, give feedback or read more about the service!





10 most popular searches



tutki ja kirjoita





You can also refine your search to a specific area on the map. The geographic search function currently encompasses

Try the geographic search .**







Case: Finna

- Public interface of the Finnish Digital Library
- Shared online service of Finnish libraries, archives, and museums
- Status: test version published in December 2012
- Use: the National View for every citizen, tailored organization views for specific user groups

→www.finna.fi



Finna's usability activities 1/3

- Constantly changing user needs
 - Status: Service concept systematically re-designed and re-evaluated
 - Plan: Studies about actual use
- Useful information about non-users
 - Status: Need for interface appeal acknowledged
- Local viewpoints
 - Status: Local users' preferences studied





Finna's usability activities 2/3

- User involvement in design
 - Option: Partners involving users in design activities
- Evaluation methods and criteria
 - Status: Dynamic inclusion of methods and criteria
 - Status: The Usability Plan as a framework for evolving activities
 - Status: Collaboration with external user experience design and evaluation experts





Finna's usability activities 3/3

- New viewpoints
 - Status: Discussion about discovery tools followed
 - Option: Users involved in evaluation tasks
 - Option: The Impact-ED framework considered for Finna's impact evaluation









THE NATIONAL LIBRARY OF FINLAND