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Research Questions

- How can a small nation build an independent tactical information domain from soldier/platform level to independent task force (upper tactical echelon) that integrates all stakeholders within armed forces?
- Could Software Defined Radio and Semantic web technologies accelerate the development of this domain?
- What acquisition approach will allow technologies to transition to service when mature?
Introduction

- Look towards future military communications equipment
- Focus on the Land Tactical Domain
- Must not forget non equipment lines of development
- In context of independent nation acting alone or unknown coalition
- Land manoeuvre Bde with attached air and naval assets
- Home base or expeditionary
- Future up to 2035
Communications

- Software Defined Radio (SDR).
- Mobile Ad-hoc Networks (MANET).
- Cognitive Radios.
- Software Defined Networks (SDN).
- Software Defined Voice Networks (SDVN).
- Physical limits and laws will still apply
Software Defined Radio

- Flexible and useful standards (Software Communications Architecture)
- SDR only beneficial if have access and need for other waveforms
- Not future proof as some like to advertise
- Useful for suite of waveforms (satellite, terrestrial, …)
- Waveforms proprietary and subject to International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR)
MANET

- In service but still mostly at the platform level
- Highly suited to tactical domain due to low user training
- Soldier worn possible but need to have viable backhaul
- Mothership concept may be required
Cognitive Radio

- Addresses lack of available spectrum
- Can achieve maximum spectral efficiency
- Simplify spectrum management
- Still procedural barriers
Software Defined Networks

- Plan, deploying and maintaining a complex heterogeneous network is tough
- Military networks are dynamic and constrained
- At the lowest levels not network engineers
- Openflow is a possible standard but has additional overhead
Software Defined Voice Networks

- Fundamental all informed voice network is a little changed concept.
- Having voice in the Radio Frequency (RF) modulation can be restrictive.
- Putting it across Internet Protocol (IP) makes sense.
- Voice over IP (VoIP) can be used for all informed voice.
- Understanding needed on latency and throughput in the tactical domain.
- Standard needed (my PhD topic).
Commercial Off The Shelf (COTS)

- Civilian communications now lead the way
- A military system should use these but be aware of the limitations
- Security requirements are different
- Throughput increases in future need to rely on shrinking cell sizes. Not always possible in this environment
- Spectrum access an issue
- Femtocells linked to a MANET backhaul possible
Support battle management, messaging, chat, Intelligence Surveillance Target Acquisition and Reconnaissance (ISTAR), ...

Cannot always predict a campaigns information exchange requirements

Assess:
- Protocols
- Service Oriented Architecture
- Semantic Web
- Applications
Protocols

- Transport Control Protocol (TCP) vs UDP (User Datagram)
- Proprietary solutions: General Dynamics Adaptive Tactical Internet Services (ATIS), Bubblephone
- Alternatives such as Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP)
- Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) used by many applications
- Quick UDP Internet Connections (QUIC) is new protocol built on top of UDP does same as HTTP
Service Oriented Architecture (SOA)

- Common interface has been used at strategic but not tactical level
- Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP) can describe transactions but often wraps other formats Geographic Markup Language, Keyhole Markup Language etc
- Enterprise Service Bus (ESB) for data normalisation and publish and subscribe
- Seen in the Afghan mission network and the Federated Mission Network
- For tactical space must be distributed
Semantic Web

- Information overload at all levels
- Semantic web can help extract the meaning from data
- Use Resource Description Framework (RDF) and SPARQL Protocol and RDF Query Language (SPARQL) avoid ambiguity
- SOA and ESB can facilitate extracting semantic data
- Big Data?:
  - Light Detection And Ranging (LIDAR), Point Clouds, Imagery, Sensors
- Local processing and disseminate
Current monolithic Command, Control, Communications, Computers, and Intelligence (C4I) systems do not allow flexibility in application use

**Containerisation:**
- This is a growing way to package, isolate and distribute applications and services
- Isolates applications and reduces integration risks

**Open Source:**
- Reduced cost
- Freedom to switch vendor
- Can improve and extend
Architectural approach

- Combine technologies (golf bag approach)
- COTS where the environment allows
- Fixed infrastructure if possible
- The ability to deploy Military Off The Shelf (MOTS) when the environment requires
Candidate Architectures
Software Architecture
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Candidate Architectures

Military operations outside the home base – low intensity
Candidate Architectures

Military operations outside the home base – high intensity
Other Lines of Development

- Doctrine and Training
- Support strategy
- Transition to service
- Avoid vendor lock in
Conclusions and future work

- Some key technology enablers discussed
- Tried to apply military reality
- Flexibility is key
- Some candidate architectures are presented
- Future work to look at efficiency of proposed solution
- Particular focus on the distributed architecture
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